

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/955,162	09/19/2001	Fumio Koyama	110659	8324
25944	7590 02/08/2005		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BI	ERRIDGE, PLC	CRUZ, MAGDA		
P.O. BOX 19 ALEXANDR	928 IA, VA 22320		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
<i>TEEXTRIB</i>	, 22320		2851	
			DATE MAH ED. 02/09/200	æ

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

`	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	09/955,162	KOYAMA, FUMIO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Magda Cruz	2851			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply		rith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio If the period for reply a specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thi eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO etable, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed inty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	31 May 2002.				
	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	·	·			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ndrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☑ The specification is objected to by the Exa 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 25 February 2002 Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the or 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	is/are: a) accepted or b) or the drawing(s) be held in abeya prection is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(e)					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 03/01/2002.	8) Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2851

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 03/01/2002 has being considered by the examiner.

Specification

3. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Naito et al. in view of Pinhanez.

Art Unit: 2851

The applied reference (Naito et al., US Patent Number 6,704,008 B2) has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by: (1) a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not daimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the daimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not claimed in the reference, prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the reference under 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). For applications filed on or after November 29, 1999, this rejection might also be overcome by showing that the subject matter of the reference and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person. See MPEP § 706.02(I)(1) and § 706.02(I)(2).

Naito et al. (US Patent Number 6,704,008 B2) discloses a projector (10) and a method of correcting uneven color of a projector (column 65, line 65 through column 2, line 16) for use with a screen (SC), comprising a projection lens (190), and an uneven color correction section (130) that performs uneven color correction on the image signal (column 1, lines 58-61); the uneven color correction section (130) including a memory in which uneven color correction data is stored (column 6, lines 44-47); the uneven color

Art Unit: 2851

correction section including a control circuit (160) and an uneven color correction circuit (130), the memory (170) storing and holding first and second uneven color correction data (i.e. input-output characteristic data), and the uneven color correction circuit (130) performing uneven color correction on the image signal using the calculated uneven color correction data (column 6, lines 48-62); a light valve (150) that modulates at least one of transmitted light and reflected light according to the image signal (column 3, lines 43-50).

Naito et al. teaches the salient features of the present invention, except a zoom function that forms a projected image, corresponding to an image signal, on the screen; a zoom state detection section that detects a zoom state of the projection lens; and the control circuit calculating the uneven color correction data in a zoom state between the first and second zoom states based on the first and second uneven color correction data. However, Naito et al. discloses a control circuit calculating the uneven color correction data (column 3, lines 33-42).

Pinhanez (US Patent Number 6,431,711 B1) discloses a zoom function (255) that forms a projected image (221), corresponding to an image signal, on the screen (222); a zoom state detection section (255) that detects a zoom state of the projection lens (i.e. projection lens from the video projector 211); and the control circuit (260) calculating the uneven color correction data in a zoom state between the first and second zoom states (i.e. parameters) based on the first and second uneven color correction data (column 4, lines 40-54).

Art Unit: 2851

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to utilize the zoom function and control circuit disclosed by Pinhanez in combination with Naito et al.'s invention, for the purpose of displaying undistorted images (column 3, lines 21-22).

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Takeuchi (US Patent Number 6,450,647 B1) discloses an image processing device and image processing method.

Koyama (US Patent Number 6,756,991 B2) teaches an image display apparatus and color signal adjustment device used therein.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Magda Cruz whose telephone number is (571) 272-2114. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 8:00-5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-2258. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2851

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JUDY NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Magda Cruz Patent Examiner November 10, 2004